1	Beauchamp and Smith Law Firm, P.C.		
2	The Historic Winship Building		
3	500 Second Street		
	Yuba City, CA 95991		
4	TEL: (530) 822-9500 FAX: (530) 751-7910		
5			
6	Attorney for Defendant		
7	Austreberto Santamaria-Valencia		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11		FOR THE EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	UNITED STA	ATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:21-CR-0079-TLN
13		Plaintiff,	
14	VS.		STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME
15	AUSTREBERTO SANTAMARIA-		
16	VALENCIA	,	
17		Defendant.	
18			Date: April 18, 2024
19			Time: 9:30 a.m.
			Hon. Troy L. Nunley
20	STIPULATION		
21	1	Dy provious order this matter was	sat for status on April 19, 2024
22	1.	By previous order, this matter was set for status on April 18, 2024.	
23	2.	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until	
24	May 16, 2024, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between April 18, 2024, and May 16,		
25			
26	2024, under Local Code T4.		
27	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		
28		a.) The government has produc	ed the discovery associated with this case

b.) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, to review the current charges, to conduct an investigation and research related to the charges,

including, among other things, investigative reports, photographs, and video recordings.

to review discovery for this matter, to discuss potential resolutions with his client, and to

prepare pretrial motions.

- c.) Defense counsel believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The government has recently proposed an offer to resolve this case and defense counsel needs time to review that offer with his client, in light of the evidence in this case, and determine their strategy.
 - d.) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e.) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f.) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of April 18, 2024 to May 16, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Rule T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions

Case 2:21-cr-00079-TLN Document 55 Filed 04/17/24 Page 3 of 3

of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence. IT IS SO STIPULATED. Date: April 16, 2024 /s/ Matthew C. Smith MATTHEW C. SMITH Attorney for Defendant Austreberto Santamaria-Valencia /s/ James R. Conolly Date: April 16, 2024 James R. Conolly Assistant United States Attorney FINDINGS AND ORDER IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 16th day of April, 2024. Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge